

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA
NORTHERN DIVISION

2008 MAR 31 A 10:59

ZEPHYRINUS EGBUONU, DEBRA P. HACKETT, CLERK
#27041-265 U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Plaintiff, MIDDLE DISTRICT ALA

v.

2:07-CV-998-WKW

CAPTAIN BARRETT, *et al.*,

Defendants.

AFFIDAVIT RESPONSE TO CAPTAIN BOBBY BARRETT'S AFFIDAVIT

Before me, the undersigned authority, Notary Public in and parish and State of Louisiana at large, personally Zephyrinus Egbuonu, who being known to me and being by me first duly sworn deposes any says on oath as follows:

My name is Zephyrinus Egbuonu, I am presently at immigration civil detainee at the Federal Detention Center in Oakdale, Louisiana and a former inmate of Kilby Correctional Facility, Mount Meigs, Alabama from September 2005 to August 1, 2006. Ex. 28 I am over nineteen (19) years of age. Kilby Correction Facility ("KCF") is an Alabama Department of Corrections ("ALDOC") system.

Captain Bobby Barrett was the Captain at the Kilby Correctional Facility at the event took place. Captain Barrett was responsible for the security operation of the prison. The KCF Law Library and Notification of Rejected Mails were two his responsibilities at the KCF.

EXHIBIT 8

at the KCF. Deputy Warden Rowell was the supervisor of Captain Bobby Barrett whose responsible includes KCF law library and mail-room.

Captain Barrett has failed to establish how over 900 inmates at KCF can adequately access to the law library two desktop computer with Lexis Nexis database for their respective research and shepardize legal citation decisions and meet various courts deadline to commence their pleading.

Captain Barrett failed to provide inmates adequate law library and access to the court when: 1) he failed to provide inmates with direct access to the law library desktop computer database in the absence of current book format for inmates' research and preparation of their legal documents to serve, file to the courts to commence personal liberty interest. Ex. 52, and Def. Ex. 3, 4, 45 Inmate Egbuonu suffered under the stated above failure to provide access.

Captain Barrett is afraid of technology and have no interest to rehabilitate inmates in the State of Alabama in the 21st Century technology, when he and others perpetually deny inmates access to use the inmates' two desktop computer Lexis Nexis database containing updated legal citation decisions and forced inmates like Inmate Egbuonu to depend on the KCF law librarian clerks to provide him with their research and Inmate Egbuonu has no way of knowing and verifying whether the inmate law librarian actually do conduct crisp and precise research that he requested and a result Inmate Egbuonu suffered and continue to suffer.

Captain Barrett was aware and possessed knowledge that KCF

Barrett

institution law librarian clerks have no time for assisting indigent inmates who have no money or items to pay inmate law librarian clerk for the computer database research but totally recklessly disregarded and acted with deliberate indifference to deny inmates like Inmate Egbuonu access to use the inmates' law library desktop computer to conduct his research in the 21st Century technology but access were given to other inmates law librarian clerks.

Inmate Egbuonu has never waived his personal interest to conduct his legal research on the law library desktop computer database, Captain Barrett and others forced Inmate Egbuonu to surrender his research to the law library clerk which lacks knowledge about Inmate Egbuonu's criminal case. Inmate Egbuonu has suffered under Captain Barrett actions and inactions in support of the policy and custom. Ex. 52

Inmate Egbuonu states irrespective of whether all materials in the KCF institutional law library are selected, approved, and provided through ALDOC or ALDOC Legal Division and specifically overseen by ALDOC Legal Division ("ALDCO LD"), Inmate Egbuonu was denied access to adequate law library, legal materials, Court, and incoming mail containing internet generated downloaded text materials. Ex. 44-48, 50, 52-55, 61, 81, 87, 97, 100-104, 106-107

Inmate Egbuonu states that the KCF law library contains digital legal materials in the Lexis Nexis database system and hard copy legal printed text format does not constitute that the KCF has adequate law library when inmates were denied adequate access to use the law library digital legal materials and hard copy legal printed text format. Ex. 52, 62, 71-73

No records before the Honorable Court depicted the establ-

Barrett

ished authenticated law library opening hours by prison officials to ensure that inmates and inmates workers receive adequate law library hours for their legal research. Ex. 41, 42, 62, 71-73.

Inmate Egbuonu has filed complaints requesting for: 1) established law library schedule opening hours; 2) adequate access to ingress and egress to law library, however prison official did not respond to Inmate Egbuonu's complaints. Moreso, the Kilby Correctional Facility's prison officials uses the institution law library for showcase and meeting and visiting, and as such is not for inmates to spend adequate time inside the law library to research and commence their pleading to various courts, and Captain Barrett was aware and possessed knowledge but recklessly disregarded and acted with deliberate indifference and did nothing to correct the deficit and as a result Inmate Egbuonu suffered. Ex. 41-42, 62, 71-73

The existing law library rule at the time of event required every inmates to sign-in to ingress the law library once a day, the rule did not required inmates to sign-out to egress the law library, for instance, if an inmates ingress to law library for two (2) minutes, that 2 minute spend would be count as one (1) day. Therefore, Captain Barrett's statement in his filed affidavit which provides that:

"In a previous 42 U.S.C. § 1983 complaint (Civil Action: 2:07 CV 685-ID) against this facility, inmate Egbuonu complained that he was denied access to the law library. It was shown that inmate Egbuonu had visited the Kilby Law Library on 172 days from October 2005, to July 31, 2006. Thus, the case was abandoned."

Def. Ex. 3, in part is incorrect and inaccurate on the basis that Inmate Egbuonu did not abandon his **Civil Action: 2:07 CV 685-ID** complained that he was denied access to the library. See Inmate Egbuonu's Civil Action: 2:07 CV 685-ID affidavits' Ex. 3, 6, 31 In addition, Captain Barrett failed to address the issue that Inmate Egbuonu complained of, and has failed to establish what the KCF institution law library memo meant by 172 days on the basis whether the actually time Inmate Egbuonu spend in the law library was 172 minutes or 172 hours from October 2005 to July 31, 2006? Captain Barrett has failed to establish how long was Inmate Egbuonu spend at the law library on the 172 days that he and others present-ed? Def. Ex. 13

Inmate workers and others at the KCF are being denied adequ-access to the library on the basis for instance, 2nd shift kitchen work hour if from 10 AM to 6 PM, however the prison official Kitchen Steward required 2nd shift kitchen inmate workers to report to the kitchen an hour (1) or an hour and half (1.5) early to get ready to serve the inmate general population. Ex. 41-43 The inmate law library open as depicted by the prison officials' exhibit in the Civil Action: 2:07 CV 685-ID indicated Monday to Friday 8 AM to 4 PM and Saturday 3 PM to 6PM. Def. Ex. 2, 3, 4

Captain Barrett was aware that prison officials at "Gate 3" denied inmates access to ingress and egress to the law library during the morning hours prior to lunch but Captain Barrett did nothing to correct the deficit. Ex. 62, 71-73 Def. Ex. 3, 4

The 2nd shift kitchen inmate workers: 1) have no ample access to the law library; and 2) are being denied their constitutionally

protected rights of access to the court.

Captain Barrett was aware, possessed knowledge that 2nd shift kitchen inmates workers are being denied access to law library pursuant to the ALDOC Administrative Regulation and Constitution of the United States, but totally and recklessly disregarded and acted deliberate indifference when he failed to take actions to correct the inadequate law library access deficit of inmate workers right of access to the courts. Ex. 62, 71-73, 101, 102, 106 Captain Barrett's action and inactions were the proximate caused of Inmate Egbuonu's irreparable harm and injury and loss and Inmate Egbuonu's constitutional rights violations.

Inmate Egbuonu states that he was not aware of that the KCF have a licensed paralegal law librarian clerk in the institution law library. The KCF law librarian clerk at the time of the events were unable to research and shepardize his request using the law library two desktop Lexis Nexis computer database and provide and make available the identifying informations and accompanying legal citation decisions and others Inmate Egbuonu requested on several occasions in part.

Captain Barrett's enforced policy or custom has failed to provide inmates like Inmate Egbuonu access and or the legal materials he needed when Inmate Egbuonu was forced to depend on the inmates' law librarian clerks to conduct search of his numerous legal decisions from computer database for him and as a result Inmate Egbuonu was denied numerous current legal citation decisions that he needed to review that were in the law library desktop computer database. Ex. 52, Def. Ex. 3, 4, 5

Captain Barrett and others has no policy in place to provide

Barrett

Inmate Egbuonu legal citation decisions outside Eleventh and Fifth Circuits Inmate Egbuonu needed to review, shepardize, commence his pleading timely to federal court and in doing so, violated Inmate Egbuonu's constitutional rights under the First and Fourteenth Amendments. Ex. 53-58, 68, 87, 97 Def. Ex. 5

Inmate Egbuonu states that Captain Barrett have not presented the Honorable Court with an adequately developed records that he received from Inmate Egbuonu as with respect to Inmate Egbuonu claim for relief for the Honorable Court meaningfully evaluate the asserted governmental interest, whether a valid and rational connection exists between the regulation and that interest, whether alternative to Inmate Egbuonu, the effect accomodating the right would have on prison official and inmates, or the absence of ready alternatives, despite that Inmate Egbuonu did notified informed Captain Barrett and others about the unconstitutional access denial and situation that existed at the KCF. Ex. 50, 52, 53-54, 55, 58 despite that Captain Barrett was properly notified and informed by Inmate Egbuonu but Captain Barrett and others did nothing and failed to correct the deficit and protect Inmate Egbuonu's constitutional rights. Ex. 44-48, 50, 52, 53-54, 58, 62, 66, 71-74, 96

Captain Bohr correct name is Captain Bolling. Captain Bolling is employed at the KCF at the time the events took place.

Genuine issue of material fact existed as to whether the Captain Barrett did and or did not not obtain advise from ALDOC Legal Division ("LD") as with respect to Inmate Egbuonu's request for legal assistance made to the KCF which were the moving force

Barrett

behind the prison officials violating Inmate Egbuonu's First and Fourteenth Amendments of the United States Constitutional rights. Ex. 44-48, 50, 52, 53-54, 58, 61, 62, 71-73, 81, 100-104, 106-107

Captain Barrett and others failed to provide inmates with access to: 1) legal material cited by the government against Inmate Egbuonu; 2) law library desktop computer non-internet Lexis Nexis database; 3) adequate law library with adequate desktop computer database terminal; 4) current legal citation decisions as the courts announced the decisions; 5) a trained paralegal law librarian clerk to assist inmates not the prison officials; 5) out of state legal citations outside Fifth and Eleventh Federal Circuit; 6) internet incoming mail material text prints; 8) Courts, and his failure to provide or make available Inmate Egbuonu's request was the moving force behind the Inmate Egbuonu's constitutional rights violations. Ex. 2-3, 41-42, 44-48, 50, 52-54, 58, 61-62, 67, 68, 71-73, 81, 83-87, 93, 100-107, and Def. Ex. 10

Captain Barrett at the time of the event was aware of Former KCF Warden Terrence McDonnell's enacted KCF Standard Operating Procedure ("SOP") Number VII-6 Inmate Mail Privileges which provides:

"3. All incoming letters will be inspected for contraband money and/or abuse of mail privileges before the delivery to the inmate

a. Any type of internet material is prohibited."

Def. Ex. 10, in the 21st Century irrespective of the First and Fourteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution and did

nothing to correct the deficit.

Captain Rowell and others denied Inmate Egbuonu access to incoming mail containing internet generated downloaded legal material text prints that were not available at the KCF institution law library and as a result Inmate Egbuonu suffered irreparable harm and injury. EX. 2, 44-48, 50, 52-58, 61, 67-68, 81, 83-87, 93, 100-104, 106-107

Captain Rowell failed to abide by their ALDOC Administrative Regulations ("AR"), and Constitutional of the United States and conduct his duties to protect inmates' constitutional rights under First and Fourteenth Amendments of the Constitution.

Captain Barrett in his filed affidavit failed to address whether internet materials is a threat to the safety and security of the public, staff, inmates and at KCF but not in Staton Correctional Facility ("SCF") and other institution in Alabama with the same common interest. Ex. 44-48, 61, 81, 100-1004, 106-107 and Def. Ex. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5

Inmate Egbuonu states that prison officials and others bald assertion of security is threatened by introduction of internet generated downloaded material text print is not clearly apparent when: 1) they failed to states the security threatened by incoming mails containing internet generated downloaded material text prints and its differences from other incoming mails material text prints format they allowed into the KCF; 2) they assertion of security is threatened was not based on the December 19, 2005 ALDOC AR 448 Inmate Mail and March 29, 2005 ALDOC AR 018 Institutional Standard Operating Procedures rather it was based on

Barrett

prison official KCF Warden Terrence McDonnell's January 27, 2003 issued Standard Operating Procedure ("SOP") Number: VII-6 Inmate Mail Privileges; 3) they failed to abide by the ALDOC AR controlling as with respect to Inmate Egbuonu's internet mails; 4) they failed to admit their wrongdoing as with respect to incoming mail containing internet material text print allegation. Ex. 100, 107 Def. Ex. 100, 107 and Def. Ex. 8, 10

Captain Barrett record does not indicate that the incoming mails containing internet generated downloaded material text print if allow into KCF would require additional train prison officials in computer forensic technology text print examiner to censor or examine the internet material incoming mails.

The security problem that raised or may be raise by prison officials would not contain any evidence to support the bald assertion of a security problem in this regard.

Captain Barrett and others limited records before the Honorable Court, have adduced what can only be described as an extremely meritless weak security justification as with respect to Inmate Egbuonu's constitutional rights guarantee and his claim for incoming mail containing internet relief.

Captain Barrett and others prohibiting Inmate Egbuonu from receive any incoming mail containing internet mail is not constitutional and also was arbitrary enforcement way to achieve security, Captain Barrett and others did not support its assertion that coded messages were not likely to be inserted into internet generated material text than word-processed documents and evidence showed that origin of printed electronic mail was usually easier

Barrett

to trace than that of handwritten or typed mail.

Captain Barrett was aware and possessed knowledge that the any type of internet material is prohibited violated Inmate Egbuonu's constitutional rights but Captain Barrett and others totally recklessly disregarded and acted with deliberate indifference to deny Inmate Egbuonu access to incoming mail containing internet material text prints and as a result of Captain Barrett actions and inactions as stated above Inmate Egbuonu suffered irreparable harm and injury.

Captain Barrett statement in his filed affidavit which provides:

"Inmate Egbuonu was advised about the policy containing internet material"

Def. Ex. 4 However, Captain Barrett failed to state what was his advised to Inmate Egbuonu. Ex. 44-48, 61, 81, 100-104, 106-107 Such statement by Captain Barrett clearly established that Captain Barrett lacks training in how to conduct his duties to protect inmates' constitutional rights and his lacks of training as stated above was also the moving force behind the violating the Inmate Egbuonu's constitutional rights.

Genuine issue of material fact existed as to whether the KCF Captain Barrett meant that the KCF any type of internet material is prohibited procedure supersedes the United States Constitution and or statement error was committed.

Captain Barrett was aware and possessed knowledge of the KCF institution policy or custom which provides that incoming mail containing internet is prohibited, however Captain Barrett in his filed affidavit failed to address whether the KCP SOP

Barrett

Number VII-6 internet policy is consistent with the ALDOC AR, State and Federal interest and First and Fourteenth Amendments of the United States Constitution. Ex. 100-102, 104, 106-107 and Def. Ex. 3, 4, 5, 10

Captain Barrett and others failed to address and disclose to the Honorable Court whether the KCF SOP Number VII-6 dated 01-27-03 was effective under the ALDOC AR 018 dated 03-29-05 and or Captain Barrett and others failed to abide by the updated ALDOC AR 018 dated 03-29-05 and Constitution of the United States. Ex. 100, 107 and Def. Ex. 10

Captain Barrett failed: 1) to abide by the 2005 ALDOC AR policies and within the parameters specified and set forth by the laws of the State of Alabama in conjunction with the 2005 ALDOC AR policies and directives of ALDOC Commissioner, Ex. 100, 101, 107, Def. Ex. 10; 2) ensure that the KCP SOP including Inmate Mail Privileges are complete current and consistent with the parameters specified and set forth by the laws of the State of Alabama and Federal Constitutional law in conjunction with the ALDOC AR; 4) advise others and or update the KCP SOP annually to be consistent with ALDOC AR 018, 448. Ex. 100, 107

No records presented by the KCF Captain Barrett and others in this matter that indicated that the KCP SOP VII-6 has been : 1) current; 2) updated annually; 3) consistent with the ALDOC AR, as required by the ALDOC AR 018, and as a result of the stated above failure Inmate Egbuonu's constitutional rights were violated and Inmate Egbuonu suffered. Ex. 3, 44-48, 61, 81, 99-107 and see Def. Ex. 10

Captain Barrett was aware of the 2005 ALDCO AR mandates

Barrett

but recklessly disregarded and acted with deliberate indifference and failure to abide by the directive of ALDOC AR and Constitution as with respect to Inmate Egbuonu's numerous complaints and requests for: 1) access to incoming mail containing internet generated downloaded material text prints of legal citation decisions, resources and informations and others which were not available in the KCF 1a library; 2) access to adequate when the legal citation decisions cited by government were not available in the law library and inmates were not allow to use the law library computer desktop database containing updated legal citation decisions to research and prepare their respective court pleading: 3) access to legal materials including legal citation decisions, statutes, resources, informations, which were not available in the KCF institution law library; 4) access to the Court.

Captain Barrett and others have supported the KCP SOP Number VII-6 dated 2003 and other custom and policy against inmates in the State of Alabama and deprived Inmate Egbuonu of his Constitutional rights and caused Inmate Egbuonu to suffer irreparable harm and injury. Ex. 2, 3, 41-42, 44-48, 50-58, 61-62, 68, 71-73, 81, 83, 87, 93, 100-107 and Def. Ex. 10

Genuine issue of material fact existed as to whether the Captain Barrett acted alone and or together with others and did not train the prison officials and others after the enactment of the KCP SOP Number VII-6 Inmate Mail Privileges to protect inmates constitutional rights?

Genuine issue of material fact existed as to whether the Captain Barrett seek the ALDOC LD advise from the Deputy Warden Rowell and or ALDOC LD prior to enforcing the any internet mater-

ial prohibited policy against inmates and or Captain Captain Barrett and others did rely on old ALDOC AR and KCF SOP manuals to denied Inmate Egbuonu access to internet material text prints containing legal citation decisions that were not available in the KCF institutional law library? Ex. 100-104, 106-107 and Def. Ex. 10

Captain Barrett and others enforced KOP SOP Number VII-6 Inmate Mail Privileges against Inmate Egbuonu was the moving force behind Inmate Egbuonu's Constitutional rights violation and has caused Inmate Egbuonu irreparable harm and injury.

Captain Barrett and others accepted the Lexis Nexis contact ALDOC system to provide legal material DVD formats quarterly basis to the KCF institution law library two desktop computer database for the law librarian clerk, Def. Ex. 3, 4, 5 However, Captain Barrett supported the KCF policy then denied inmates access from receiving incoming mail containing internet material text prints format from Lexis Nexis and West Laws legal citation decisions which were not available in the KCF law library. Ex. 2, 44-48, 61, 81, 83.

Captain Barrett has made no effort to explain why incoming mail containing internet generated download material text prints are more susceptible to being used to deliver contraband than other items such as printed text materials from computer and photocopies from a text book.

Inmate Egbuonu states he fail to see as to alternative means of exercising the stated above right access through inadequate law library and legal assistance is not an adequate substitute for reading internet generated downloaded material text prints.

Barrett

Inmate Egbuonu further states there would not seem to be much of a penological interest in prohibiting access to internet downloaded material text, magazine, television, radio and other programs containing similar contents that inmate have access to.

Captain Barrett of ALDOC/KCF under his administration operation and supervision responsibilities in the past and present have offered no justification on the KCF or ALDOC for enforcement of the regulation restricting access to internet material text prints, access to internet materials of out of States legal citation decisions and its accompanying text prints, access to legal materials which were not available at the KCF law library, in doing so enforced internet prohibit policy and denied Inmate Egbuonu access to legal materials and access to the court despite it was entirely foreseeable that denial and arbitrary denial of the out of the state legal citation decisions cited by the Alabama State System would bar Inmate Egbuonu's ability to prepare his petition and file and commence his pleading before the federal courts. Ex. 44-48, 61, 81, 83

Captain Barrett in his filed affidavit did not explain why other prison systems can get along without such restrictive rules prohibiting inmates like Inmate Egbuonu from receiving incoming mails containing internet material text prints but the KCF in Alabama can't.

Indeed, the failure of Captain Barrett and others to explain why other institutions with the same compelling interests was able accommodate the same internet material text prints may constitute a failure to establish that Captain Barrett and others were

using the least restrictive.

Captain Barrett and others fails to explain why it does not have a similarly restrictive policy enforce on other computer material text prints and media since the interest in whatever it may be are no less compelling for computer material text print and media.

Captain Barrett under his administrative and security operations and supervision forced inmates like Inmate Egbuonu to choose internet material prohibited policy, and further forced him to choose between following his First and Fourteenth Amendments rights and belief or abandoning them satisfies the irreparable harm and injury that Inmate Egbuonu suffered, and the balance of hardship favors the Inmate Egbuonu, and Captain Barrett and others violated Inmate Egbuonu's First and Fourteenth Amendments constitute irreparable harm and injury.

Captain Barrett and others are responsible for the deficiency of the internet material mail and law library and legal material policies, customs and regulations because of his administrative and security operation and supervision and they instituted that system, defined the obligations of the stated above described access denial, and as a result, the Captain Barrett and others are liable for their own actions and inactions which impeded the Inmate Egbuonu's access to the incoming mail containing internet material text prints, legal materials, adequate law library, access to the Court and Inmate Egbuonu suffered actual irreparable harm and injury.

Moreso, Captain Barrett and others have a constitutional obligations to take reasonable measure to provide and or available

Barrett

and guarantee inmates like Inmate Egbuonu has: 1) access to legal materials (legal citations, statutes, resources, informations and others); 2) right of access to incoming mail containing internet generated downloaded material text prints; 3) right of access to incoming mail containing internet generated downloaded material text text prints of legal materials; 4) right of access to the adequate law library; 5) right of access to the courts; 6) trained prison officials on how to conduct their duties and protect inmates' constitutional rights such as as to develop and enforce a policy of sharing informations regarding legal materials and deviate from unconstitutional policy that violates' constitutional rights. Ex. 44-48, 50, 52-55, 58, 61-62, 71-73, 81, 98-107, and Def. Ex. 10

Captain Barrett and others have failed to fulfill and meet the stated above described constitutional obligations when he failed to provide and or make available the legal materials Inmate Egbuonu requested and his failure to fulfill and meet the stated above constitutional obligations were the moving force in violation of the Inmate Egbuonu's constitutional rights by the others at ALDOC and KCF.

Captain Barrett acted negligently, recklessly disregarded and acted with malice or intent and with deliberate indifference when he failed to provide or make available the stated above constitutional obligations and did nothing and failed to intervene, correct and protect Inmate Egbuonu's constitutional rights after being informed and notified by Inmate Egbuonu. Ex. 44-48, 50, 52-55, 58, 62, 71-73, 98-104, 106-107 and DEf. Ex. 10 Captain Barrett and others under their administrative and security oper-

Barrett

ation and supervision violated Inmate Egbuonu's constitutional rights when he failed to fulfill and meet the stated above constitutional obligations nor provide or make available an alternative solution, and as a result Inmate Egbuonu suffered irreparable harm and injury. Captain Barrett actions and inactions as with respect to the Inmate Egbuonu's constitutional rights violations were also the moving force behind the violations and caused Inmate Egbuonu irreparable suffer harm and injury.

At no time did Captain Barrett provide or make available the legal materials Inmate Egbuonu requested which were not in the KCF institutional law library nor made available alternative solution in which Inamte Egbuonu could receive the same. Ex. 44-48, 50, 52-55, 58, 61, 81, 100-104, 106-107

Inmates at the KCF have no access to updated legal citation decisions in time; as the courts announced the decisions, and have no access to desktop computer database which happens to be updated on a quarterly basis, and have no access to receive the various courts announced decisions through incoming mail containing internet generated downloaded material text prints. Ex. 2, 44-48, 50, 52-55, 58, 61, 81

Captain Barrett acted with deliberate indifference when he failed to provide Inmate Egbuonu the legal citation decisions he requested and a way of knowing when the State, Federal, United States Supreme Courts announce a new decision effecting his incarceration in Alabama State.

Captain Barrett has caused Inmate Egbuonu to suffer irreparable harm and injury when his enforced policy and customs and conduct actions and inactions failed to provide Inmate Egbuonu

Barrett

access to the law library desktop computer database for research, adequate law library, legal materials cited by the government used to deny Inmate Egbuonu his claim for relief. Ex. 41-42, 62, 71-73, 50, 52-55

Captain Barrett and others have failed to place a policy and information in place to have legal citation decisions cited by the government against inmates ready and available in the KCF law library for Inmate Egbuonu to review and commence his pleading timely to various courts consistent with constitution of the United States.

Captain Barrett and others totally and recklessly disregarded the stated above and acted with deliberate indifference and did nothing to correct deficit and have: 1) failed to make a good faith effort to implement or enforce the policy rules and regulations consistent to constitutional mandates and protect inmates' like Inmate Egbuonu's constitutional rights: 2) failed to train and supervise the prison officials on how to conduct their duties, abide by ALDOC AR and constitution and protect inmates like Inmate Egbuonu from being denied access to the stated constitutional obligations; 3) failed to provide to Inmate Egbuonu's the legal materials and assistance he requested. Ex. 44-48, 50 52-55, 62, 71-73

Captain Barrett and others delays and not to provide Inmate Egbuonu's request was unreasonable based upon the informations known to him at the time and as such, Captain Barrett acted with deliberate indifferences not to protect Inmate Egbuonu's constitutional rights, and lacks training that internet prohibit violates inmates like Inmate Egbuonu's rights as with respect to incoming mail containing internet material text prints. Ex. 44-48, 50,

Barrett

52-55, 61, 81, 100-104, 106-107 and Def. Ex. 3, 4, 5

Captain Barrett recklessly disregarded that the stated above described constitutional obligations and is to prevent the first unconstitutional conduct actions and inactions from occurring and: 1) perpetually failed to apply and enforce rules, precautions and due process consistent with the ALDOC AR and constitution to bar unconstitutional conducts from occurring; 2) perpetually failed to protect inmates' constitutional protected rights under the First and Fourteenth Amendments of the United States Constitution; 3) failed to fulfill and meet the stated above described and constitutional obligations and as a result Inmate Egbuonu has suffered under Captain Barrett lack of training and supervision and continue to suffer.

It is unconstitutional for Captain Barrett and others to deny Inmate Egbuonu's access to the stated above described regardless of whether that denial is occurring under the plain language of the ALDOC, KCF, Contract Counsel's policy and regulation or by virtue of the manner in which prison officials were screening the access. Ex. 2-3, 41-42, 44-48, 50, 53-58, 61-62, 67-68, 71-73, 81, 84, 87, 93, 100-107

Captain Barrett has failed to establish adequate legal assistance, coordinate with others including prison officials and contractors which would have: 1) prevented and discourage prison official and contractor workers from violating inmates like Inmate Egbuonu's constitutional rights; 2) alerted Alabama Department of Justice and Judicial Systems to provide the ALDOC and KCF the materials or funds to purchase legal materials that inmates like

Barrett

requested and needed to commence his exhausted States' denied writ. Ex. 1, 13, 14

Captain Barrett has failed to coordinate Inmate Egbuonu's request for legal material material with appropriate office and prison officials and in time that would have assisted and provide Inmate Egbuonu the legal material, access to the adequate law library and access to the court, that he requested, and has failed to provide him with access to the incoming mail containing internet materials. Ex. 44-48, 50, 42-55, 61-62, 71-73, 81

Captain Barrett and others facilitate the prison officials conduct actions and inactions as with respect to Inmate Egbuonu's constitutional rights violations, approve it, condone it and turn a blind eye for fear of what he might see in other word act either knowingly or with deliberate reckless indifference when he failed to provide and or make available or access pursuant to Inmate Egbuonu's complaint or intervene in a timely manner and correct the ongoing unconstitutional conduct existed at the KCF. Ex. 44-48, 50, 52-55, 61-62, 71-73, 81

Inmate Egbuonu has never violated any of the ALDOC AR and or KCF policies, customs, rules and regulations and the prison officials and others have not stated otherwise or prove the same but he was punished when the the ALDOC and KCF denied him access to legal materials, adequate law library, Courts and incoming mail containing internet generated downloaded material text prints. Ex. 28

At no time were sanctions, citations, denial, disciplinary actions issued to Inmate Egbuonu by the prison officials and others

Barrett

for: 1) filing any false statement or complaint for the stated above described constitutional obligations; 2) failure to follow direct prison order; 3) failure to follow law librarian clerk order; 4) failure to request/file access to legal materials and law library form N944L i when available and provided to him; 5) filing any false allegation against prison official, contractor, law librarian; 6) violating of any ALDOC AR rules or regulations. Ex. 2, 28, 44-48, 51, 53-58, 61-62, 67-68, 83-87, 93, 100-104, 106-107 and Def. Ex. 11, 12

Captain Barrett not only denied inmates direct access to use the two desktop computer Lexis Nexis database terminal but he failed to train and supervise his law librarian clerks resulted some of the law librarian clerks were using the computer to carry out fraudulent activities which one of them was later suspended from working in the law library.

Inmate Egbuonu's evidences before the Honorable Court is not only believable prior to discovery, but compelling that Captain Barrett and others were aware and possessed knowledge of the stated above described and took every step necessary to prohibit Inmate Egbuonu from informing or notifying the federal court timely about the State of Alabama newly enacted code § 13A-8-196 which is in contrary to Federal Laws and Constitution of the United States, International Treaties and Universal Declaration of Human Rights in the 21st Century, and as a result of his actions and inactions of denying Inmate Egbuonu all the stated above access, Inmate Egbuonu ha suffered irreparable harm and injury and damages and loss and continuous incarceration and detention. Ex. 3, 12, 14-18

Captain Barrett worked alone and together with others to denied and deprived Inmate Egbuonu the legal citation decisions he requested that he needed to conduct, research, prepare, file his exhausted state's writ before the Federal Court and have denied Inmate Egbuonu access to receive incoming mail containing internet generated downloaded material text print prints in part including the legal material decisions that Inmate Egbuonu requested which were not available in the Kilby Correctional Facility law library. Ex. 44-48, 50, 52-55, 58, 61-62, 71-73, 81

Inmate Egbuonu re-alleges and incorporates by references: Captain Barrett failure to fulfill and meet the stated above described constitutional obligations and others, and lacks training under the administrative and security operation and supervision of the KCF law library; Inmate Egbuonu's attached evidences exhibits; filed civil complaints; and Inmate Egbuonu's response to Captain Barrett and others' Special Report and Answer and Affidavits and states that Captain Bobby Barrett has violated Violated Inmate Egbuonu's First and Fourteenth Amendments constitutional rights, Federal and State laws and regulations respectively as with respect to Inmate Egbuonu's claim for relief and has engaged in intentional or reckless conduct, the conduct extreme and outrageous, the conduct caused the Inmate Egbuonu sleeplessness, emotional distress, damages, economic and non-economic loss and was severe.

Captain Barrett and others failed to provide indigent inmates with basic supplies of legal materials including legal citation decisions, resources, informations used by the Alabama Judicial System against them in denying them their constitutional guarantee rights. Captain Barrett and others failed to ensure that inmates at Kilby Correctional Facility their access is meaningful and adequate law library was provided.

Captain Barrett has worked alone and together with others to form a custom and policy which violates Inmate Egbuonu's First and Fourteenth Amendments' constitutional rights, Federal and State laws and regulations respectively in all manner. United States Constitution Amendment 1, 14.

Egbuonu Zephyr

Zephyrinus Egbuonu
(Acting Pro-Se)

STATE OF LOUISIANA

OAKDALE, LOUISIANA

Sworn to and subscribed before and under my hand official seal this the 19th day of March, 2008

Fany 053496
Notary Public

My Commission expires: at death

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this 19th day of March, 2008 served a copy of the forgoing attached, by first-class United States Mail, postage prepaid and addressed upon the following:

Tara S. Knee
Assistant Attorney General
Assistant General Counsel
Alabama Department of Corrections
Legal Division
Post Office Box 301501
Montgomery, Alabama 36130-1501

Egbuonu Zephyr

Zephyrinus Egbuonu
(Acting Pro-Se)
27041-265 FDC
Federal Detention Center
Post Office Box 5010
Oakdale,
Louisiana 71463